

1 Name: Cedric L. Anderson


2 Address: 9710 Woodway Dr.

3 Dallas, Texas 75217

4 Phone: _____

5 Fax: _____

6 In Pro Per



7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 Cedric L. Anderson

11 Plaintiff

12 v.

13 Law Office of Timothy Martella

14 SUPPLEMENTAL PURSUANT TO Fed. R. Civ. P. 10

15 Defendant(s).

CASE NUMBER:

2:15-cv-05628-AB-PLA

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO
LASSITER V. DEP'T OF SOC.

16
17 fdafagag

18
19 I. INTRODUCTION

20
21 Plaintiff acknowledges the Court presenting for the FIRST TIME a NEW argument found in
22 Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 101 S. Ct. 2153, 68 L. Ed. 2d 640 (1981); Storset v.
23 Spellman, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981).

24
25 The Court's use of this Case Law is in legal error based upon U.S. Supreme Court and California
26 Case Law.

27 ///

28 (continued on next page)

Page 1 of 3

1 II. INCORPORATE DOCUMENT

2
3 Plaintiff Incorporate the arguments and cases cited in, "OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE'S
4 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION."

5
6 See Summary Section on Page 2 of 29 pages

7
8 See in Document 29, Cited Case Law for Due Process on Page 8 and 9 of section, "VI.
9 ERROR OF LAW IN APPLICATION OF LASSITER V. DEP'T OF SOC. SERVS."

10
11 III. SUMMARY

12
13 In California Courts, the U.S. Constitutional right to Counsel in Dependency Hearings is ALSO
14 determined upon the possibility of Loss of Physical Liberty or 14th Amendment Fundamental
15 Fairness.

16
17 III. FIRST EXCEPTION TO LASSITER BASED UPON LOSS OF PHYSICAL LIBERTY

18
19 Lassiter v. Department of Social Servs. of Durham Cty., 452 US 18 - Supreme Court 1981 at 25 (The
20 pre-eminent generalization that emerges from this Court's precedents on an indigent's right to
21 appointed counsel is that such a right has been recognized to exist only where the litigant may lose
22 his physical liberty if he loses the litigation.)

23
24 Plaintiff deaf, faced three separate investigations of child abuse across two different states concerning
25 physical, emotional and sexual abuse of 8 children, which could ultimately result in loss of physical
26 liberty.


27 ///

28 (continued on next page)

Page 2 of 3

1 Additionally It can easily be inferred the fraudulent representation, extrinsic fraud and Defendant
2 threats to Plaintiff upon any submission of the evidence that they would have him arrested on false
3 allegations culminated to Plaintiff lost of his physical liberty by a false arrest or malicious
4 prosecution.

5
6 Respectfully Submit on 4th October, 2016,

7
8 
Cedric Anderson
ANDERSON, CEDRIC

9
10 4th OCT 2016
11 Date

12
13
14 Executed Inside the United States, its Territories, Possessions, or Commonwealths.

15 "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct."

16 Executed on

17 4th OCT 2016
18 ~~7/10/2016~~

19 (date).

20
21 

22 Cedric Anderson

23 (Signature)

24
25
26 ///

27 ///

28 Page 3 of 3